

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/827,563		CHEN, SHUN-MIN	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Alexander Grosz		3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alexander Grosz. (3) _____.

(2) MR PRESSER. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5/4; 5/5; 5/11/05

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: ALL

Identification of prior art discussed: US 1999 57133 B3

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: NOTE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A15T

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

400 Garden City Plaza
Garden City, New York 11530
(516) 742-4343 - Telephone
(516) 742-4366 - Facsimile
e.mail: intprop@ssmp.com

**SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY
& PRESSER**

Fax

To:	Examiner: Alexander Grosz	From:	Leopold Presser, Esq.
	Group Art Unit: 3673		
	Confirmation No.: 3213		
<hr/>			
Fax:	(571) 273-7041	Pages:	___ (Including fax cover sheet)
<hr/>			
Phone:		Date:	May 12, 2005
<hr/>			
Re:	Applicant: Shun-Min Chen	cc	
	U.S. Patent Application No. 10/827,563		
	Your Ref: 900970-1		
	<u>Our Docket: 17653</u>		

AS PER TELEPHONE DISCUSSION

Dear Examiner Grosz:

Pursuant to our telephone conversation, we are enclosing a new set of claims, as agreed upon to place the application into possible order for allowance.

Kindly advise if you have any questions with respect to the foregoing.

Respectfully Submitted,

Leopold Presser

CONFIDENTIALITY: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission may contain information which is either confidential or legally privileged and is intended only for the authorized use of the individual or entity named above without right or publication or republication, dissemination or disclosure except as expressly set forth or established by course of dealing. All rights are reserved. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile is prohibited. If you received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone to arrange for return of the documents.

If you have any problems concerning this facsimile, please call (516) 742-4343 and ask for Julie @ Ext. 569.

LISTING OF THE CLAIMS:

Claims 1-6 (Cancelled).

7. (New) A playpen with double columns at each corner of said playpen, comprising:

a plurality of first corner components;

a first rod unit connecting the first corner components;

a plurality of second corner components;

a second rod unit connecting the second corner components;

a plurality of first columns, each said first column having two opposite ends, one end being connected to a first corner component, another end of said column being connected to a second corner component;

a plurality of second columns, each said second column having two opposite ends, one end being connected to a first corner component, another end of said second column being connected to a second corner component each said second corner component comprising a first sleeve and a second sleeve connecting respectively with the first column and the second column;

wherein a distance between the two second columns is larger than that between the two first columns, whereby a gap is formed between an adjacent first column and a second column; and

a boundary sheet extends through each said gap and covers the first columns.

8. (New) The playpen as claimed in claim 7, wherein each said first corner component comprises a first bulge and a second bulge connecting respectively with the first column and the second column.
9. (New) The playpen as claimed in claim 8, wherein each of the first and second columns extend respectively around the first and second bulges.
10. (New) The playpen as claimed in claim 7, wherein the playpen comprises a plurality of rivets for securing the first and second columns to respectively the first corner components.
11. (New) The playpen as claimed in claim 7, wherein the playpen comprises a plurality of washers for securing the boundary sheet to respectively the ends of the first columns, which are adjacent to the first corner components.
12. (New) A playpen with double columns at each corner of said playpen, comprising:

a plurality of first corner components;

a first rod unit connecting the first corner components;

a plurality of second corner components;

a second rod unit connecting the second corner components;

a plurality of first columns, each said first column having two opposite ends, one end being connected to a first corner component, another end of said column being connected to a second corner component;

a plurality of second columns, each said second column having two opposite ends, one end being connected to a first corner component, another end of said second column being connected to a second corner component each said first corner component comprising a first bulge and a second bulge connecting respectively with the first column and the second column;

wherein a distance between the two second columns is larger than that between the two first columns, whereby a gap is formed between an adjacent first column and a second column; and

a boundary sheet extends through each said gap and covers the first columns.

13. (New) The playpen as claimed in claim 12, wherein each of the first and second columns extend respectively around the first and second bulges.
14. (New) The playpen as claimed in claim 13, wherein the playpen comprises a plurality of rivets for securing the first and second columns to respectively the first corner components.
15. (New) The playpen as claimed in claim 14, wherein the playpen comprises a plurality of washers for securing the boundary sheet to respectively the ends of the first columns, which are adjacent to the first corner components.